

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Grademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223/33-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO FIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/695,599 10/28/2003 R. Stephen Champlin 6331

03/23/2004

Ryan M. Fountain 420 Lincoln Way West Mishawaka, IN 46544

EXAMINER CLARKE, SARA SACHIE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3749 DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A ,•		4.
	Application No.	بر Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/695,599	CHAMPLIN, R. STEPHEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sara Clarke	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will be some analysis.	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) □ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 2,4-6 and 8-10 is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to 	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/695,599

Art Unit 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

It is noted that applicant provided an amendment to the specification as a preliminary amendment in the transmittal letter. The amendment is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(h) and has not been entered.

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 based upon a previously filed application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph unless it appears in an application data sheet. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No.

______ " should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 120. A priority claim

filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 120. The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

See MPEP 201.11(III).

Claim Objections

Claims 2, 4-6, and 8-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 2, line 2, "received" should be "receives." In claim 4, line 3, "an" should be "a." In claim 4, line 3, "hing" should be "hinge." In claim 4, line 4, "vehicl" should be "vehicle." In claim 5, line 2, "including" should be "includes." In claim 6, line 2, "and" should be "a." In claim 8, line 1, "barbequ" should be "barbeque" and "wh rein" should be "wherein." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit 3743

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Billman (US 5310147).

Billman discloses the invention as claimed including a rack 10 which removably receives a grill unit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Billman (US 5310147) in view of Winters (US 3605718).

Billman discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the grill having a hinge with a stop member.

Winters discloses a grill and teaches the use of providing a hinge 36,37 having a stop member 60 between the base portion 21 and the cover portion 23 for the purpose of maintaining the cover portion in an open position. See column 2, line 13.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the grill of Billman with the hinge including stop members as taught by Winters for the purpose of maintaining the cover portion in an open position.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or

Application/Control Number: 10/695,599 Art Unit 3743

discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,637,426. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McKoski (US 2004/0040553) discloses a vehicle mounted grill.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Clarke whose telephone number is (703)305-9177. The examiner can normally be reached on Thurs. and Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached at (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Art Unit 3743

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara Clarke

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749

21 March 2004